

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application as amended is requested. Claims 1-19 are in this application. Claims 14 and 18 are amended. Claims 1-2 and 10-13 are cancelled. Claims 5 and 15 are withdrawn.

The examiner allowed claims 3-9.

The examiner withdrew claims 5 and 15 subject to a restriction requirement with traverse.

The examiner has rejected claims 13, 18 and 19 as anticipated by *Glissendorf* under Section 102. Claim 13 is cancelled and are no longer at issue. Claim 18 is amended to depend upon allowable claim 14. Claim 18 and claim 19, based upon its dependency on claim 18, are now thought allowable.


The examiner objected to claims 14, and 16-17 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The Applicant amends claim 14 to include the limitations of the base claim and intervening claims. Claim 14, as well as dependent claims 16-17 are now thought allowable.

On the basis of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration and allowance of the application is believed to be warranted.

Respectfully submitted,

Nov. 16, 2005
Date


Susan Lorraine Firestone
USPTO Reg. No. 36,802
O'Malley and Firestone
919 S. Harrison Street, Suite 210
Fort Wayne, Indiana 46802
Tel: (260)407-3907
Fax: (260)407-3908
E-mail: slfirestone@fwpatent.com

Scr. No. 10/701,195

Page 5